

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund



Annual Report of Aggregate Net Probable Maximum Losses,
Financing Options, and Potential Assessments

February 2015

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Purpose and Scope	3
Introduction	3
Aggregate Net Probable Maximum Losses	4
Financing Options	6
Assessment Impact	8
Conclusion	9

The data contained in this report has not been audited. This report was prepared by Raymond James as financial advisor to the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund.

Annual Report of Aggregate Net Probable Maximum Losses, Financing Options, and Potential Assessments

Purpose and Scope

Section 627.35191, Florida Statutes, enacted in 2013, requires the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund (FHCF) to provide a report to the Legislature and the Financial Services Commission regarding the aggregate net probable maximum losses, financing options, and potential assessments of the FHCF. More specifically:

§ 627.35191 Annual report of aggregate net probable maximum losses, financing options, and potential assessments. No later than February 1 of each year, the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund and Citizens Property Insurance Corporation shall each submit a report to the Legislature and the Financial Services Commission identifying their respective aggregate net probable maximum losses, financing options, and potential assessments. The report issued by the fund and the corporation must include their respective 50-year, 100-year, and 250-year probable maximum losses; analysis of all reasonable financing strategies for each such probable maximum loss, including the amount and term of debt instruments; specification of the percentage assessments that would be needed to support each of the financing strategies; and calculations of the aggregate assessment burden on Florida property and casualty policyholders for each of the probable maximum losses.

Introduction

The FHCF plays a significant role in the provision of property insurance coverage for Florida residents. Nine consecutive seasons without hurricane activity affecting Florida have given the FHCF an opportunity to accumulate sufficient resources to prepare for future storms. The FHCF has significant financial resources as of the end of 2014, with an estimated fund balance of approximately \$10.94 billion. In addition to these resources, the FHCF also has \$2 billion in proceeds from outstanding pre-event debt, providing additional liquidity for 2015 and future seasons. Nonetheless, the FHCF might still need to rely on emergency assessments and post-event bonding capabilities to pay claims if a storm or storms of sufficient size impacted Florida. The analyses presented in this report summarize those resources and how the FHCF would apply them after an event.

Aggregate Net Probable Maximum Loss

The basic claims payment structure of the FHCF is as follows:

- Except for certain de minimis exemptions, all admitted insurers writing residential property insurance in Florida, including Citizens Property Insurance Corporation, are required by Section 215.555, Florida Statutes, to obtain FHCF reimbursement coverage.
- The FHCF reimburses each participating insurer for a portion of its hurricane losses under residential policies. The insurer has the option of selecting a coverage percentage of 90%, 75%, or 45%. The vast majority choose the 90% coverage level.
- An insurer's FHCF reimbursement coverage is triggered after it meets its retention (the functional equivalent of a deductible). For the contract year that began on June 1, 2014 and ends on May 31, 2015, the aggregate retention for all participating insurers was \$7.075 billion. Aggregate retention for the contract year beginning on June 1, 2015 is projected to be \$6.898 billion. Once an insurer's losses exceed its share of the aggregate industry retention, it triggers coverage. It is not a requirement that aggregate insurer losses exceed the aggregate industry retention prior to that insurer being eligible for FHCF reimbursement.
- The maximum obligation of the FHCF for a given contract year is specified by statute. The current maximum is \$17 billion. Each insurer's reimbursement coverage is limited to its share of the \$17 billion maximum obligation.
- An insurer's reimbursement premium, retention, and coverage limit are based on its total insured values by ZIP code as of June 30, which must be reported by each insurer annually by September 1 of each year.
- The claims-paying resources of the FHCF include:
 - Reimbursement premiums: cash available from current and past accumulation of reimbursement premiums and investment income. The cash balance is used before any of the other claims-paying resources are used. The FHCF collected approximately \$1.22 billion in reimbursement premium, net of expenses, mitigation and debt service, from participating insurers for the 2014 contract year and the total preliminary cash balance as of December 31,

2014 was \$10.94 billion. The FHCF is projected to collect \$1.22 billion in reimbursement premium, net of expenses, mitigation and debt service, for the 2015 contract year and the total projected cash balance as of December 31, 2015 is \$12.18 billion.

- Proceeds from pre-event financing: the FHCF currently has \$2 billion in proceeds from the Series 2013A pre-event bond issue.
- Proceeds from any post-event debt: post-event debt is repaid from emergency assessments on most Florida property and casualty premiums of both admitted and non-admitted lines of business (the exceptions are workers' compensation, medical malpractice, accident and health, and federal flood insurance). Post-event resources could also include funds from assessments levied without the issuance of post-event debt. The maximum assessment percentage is 6% with respect to any one contract year's losses and 10% with respect to all contract years' losses combined. No such post-event debt is outstanding at this time.
- Risk transfer: recoverables from reinsurance and other risk transfer products. No such risk transfer products are in place as of the date of this report.

Table 1 on the following page shows the net probable maximum loss to the FHCF from storms of the return time specified. The loss calculations were derived from the FHCF 2014 Ratemaking Formula Report prepared by Paragon Strategic Solutions Inc., consulting actuary to the FHCF. The complete 2014 Ratemaking Formula Report can be found at <http://fhcf.paragonbenfield.com/pdf/14ratereport.pdf>

Table 1
(\$ in millions)

Return Time (Years)	Gross Probable Maximum Loss¹	Maximum Net Losses to FHCF²	Adjusted Net Losses to FHCF³	Projected Year-End Fund Balance⁴	Potential Post-Event Bonding⁵
250	\$79,720	\$17,000	\$16,946	\$12,180	\$4,766
100	\$53,351	\$17,000	\$16,393	\$12,180	\$4,213
50	\$35,688	\$17,000	\$14,229	\$12,180	\$2,049

Coverages	Amount
2015 Retention (Projected)	\$6,898
Mandatory Coverage	\$17,000

¹ Represents gross loss to all Florida residential policyholders from a storm of the indicated return time multiplied by 1.05 to allow for 5% loss adjustment expenses pursuant to Section 215.555(4)(b)1, FL Statutes.

² Based on the maximum statutory limit and the assumption that the FHCF operates as a single industry entity with a single industry retention and industry limit that apply to industry gross losses from total industry exposure.

³ Based on the assumption that the total FHCF net losses is the sum of losses from approximately 156 individual companies, each with its own retention, limit and exposure distribution. Under this assumption it is unlikely for all insurers to trigger or exhaust the total of all FHCF coverage. Adjusted loss information for 2015 is not available, and may be different from that shown as derived from the 2014 Ratemaking Formula Report.

⁴ FHCF fund balance is projected as of 12/31/15.

⁵ Adjusted Net Losses to FHCF less Projected Year-End Fund Balance. Assumes the use of post-event financing, which is repaid with emergency assessments but does not contemplate risk transfer.

Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Financing Options

The FHCF undertakes two basic types of financing: (1) pre-event financing to provide liquid funds to reimburse participating insurers in a timely manner; and (2) post-event financing designed to provide the ultimate source of payment of covered claims in excess of cash on hand.

The FHCF has \$2.0 billion of Series 2013A pre-event debt outstanding. The proceeds of this pre-event financing are available to pay future claims. Pre-event interest expenses are designed to be paid primarily from the interest earnings on the invested proceeds of the bonds (which are retained pending their use to pay future claims) and from reimbursement premiums. There are no assessments associated with pre-event bonds of the FHCF. If the proceeds of pre-event bonds are ever used to pay claims, the FHCF

can levy emergency assessments to pay back the bonds or the FHCF can refinance such pre-event bonds using post-event bonds secured by emergency assessments.

The FHCF has no post-event bonds outstanding. On July 11, 2014, the FHCF defeased its outstanding post-event bonds issued to pay claims for 2005 events. The associated assessments were eliminated for all policies issued or renewed on or after January 1, 2015.

The FHCF has the statutory authority to amortize its debt over a term of up to 30 years. Given the magnitude of the losses associated with the storms summarized in Table 1 above, the FHCF could use this full term for any bonds associated with the financing of these losses. Although market conditions have significantly improved over the last four years, interest rates are at historically low levels and the FHCF’s Series 2013A taxable pre-event financing was extremely successful. However, market conditions and access is always uncertain but critical to understanding the challenges facing the FHCF, especially after a large event. As summarized in Table 1, bonding needs of this size are extremely large by municipal market standards.

Conditions in the municipal tax-exempt market are favorable, and have significantly improved in both the taxable municipal and corporate markets. U.S. corporate bond sales increased to \$1.46 trillion in 2014, surpassing the record in 2013 of \$1.41 trillion, as investors sought higher-yielding alternatives to government securities and companies took advantage of relative all-time low interest rates. The corporate bond market has topped \$1 trillion each year since 2010 as interest rates have been consistently historically low.

Year	Municipal		Corporate	
	Par (\$B)	% Change	Par (\$B)	% Change
2003	\$380		\$776	
2004	\$358	-6%	\$781	1%
2005	\$407	14%	\$753	-4%
2006	\$386	-5%	\$1,059	41%
2007	\$429	11%	\$1,128	6%
2008	\$389	-9%	\$707	-37%
2009	\$410	5%	\$902	28%
2010	\$433	6%	\$1,063	18%
2011	\$295	-32%	\$1,012	-5%
2012	\$382	30%	\$1,365	35%
2013	\$335	-12%	\$1,414	4%
2014	\$332	-1%	\$1,463	3%

Source: SIFMA

After declining significantly in 2011, municipal issuance also rebounded strongly in 2012 with a 30% increase. In 2014, the municipal bond market was relatively flat compared to 2013, with \$332 billion in issuance.

The relative reduction in municipal tax-exempt issuance from a historical standpoint is encouraging for the FHCF, as it may be an indication of significant pent up demand in the tax-exempt and/or taxable markets. In addition, the FHCF has some factors

working in its favor independent of market trends, including, but not limited to: (1) in April 2013, the FHCF successfully priced \$2 billion of Series 2013A taxable pre-event bonds with 3, 5 and 7-year maturities at a true interest cost of 2.61% and received over \$3.6 billion in orders (1.79x oversubscribed), which helped to re-establish the FHCF in the taxable market and also reflected that there is significant capacity for the FHCF to issue bonds at cost-effective rates; (2) the FHCF is a well-regarded, highly-rated credit (long-term ratings of AA-/AA/Aa3 from Standard & Poor's, Fitch, and Moody's, respectively), closely associated with (though not guaranteed by) the State of Florida, which is a blue-chip name in the market; (3) similar to the Series 2013A pre-event financing, any post-event bond issuances of the size the FHCF may need to undertake would also be included in the various benchmark indices market observers use to track market performance, so institutional money managers seeking to at least match indexed returns may have a strong additional incentive to buy FHCF bonds, particularly if they are offered at interest rates marginally higher than those typically associated with AA rated credits; and (4) the FHCF has no post-event bonds outstanding.

Although financial market conditions have significantly improved over the last five years and are currently expected to be conducive to favorable debt issuance, it is not possible to guarantee financial market conditions into the future. If long-term bonding in sufficient amounts is not immediately available, the FHCF may need to explore alternatives, including the levying of emergency assessments with no financing, issuing bonds in multiple tranches over time and/or interim financing alternatives. The FHCF statute provides that the FHCF's liability is limited to the amount it can actually raise from bonding and other available claims payment sources. The timing of reimbursements to insurers can vary depending on whether insurers need to pay their policyholders quickly, such as might occur with a category five hurricane causing a large number of total losses, or slowly due to a covered hurricane where large losses are due to many partial losses materializing over a number of years.

Assessment Impact

As described above in situations involving rapid loss development, the FHCF would probably attempt a financing based on the dollar losses generated by the hurricane or hurricanes in order to finance its statutory limit, as shown in Table 1. These bonds would be repaid using emergency assessments.

Table 2 on the following page shows the estimated annual assessment impact from the varying hurricane loss scenarios.

Table 2
(\$ in millions)

Return Time (Years)	Potential Post-Event Bonding	Required Annual Assessment¹	Required Annual Assessment (%)²
250	\$4,766	\$384	1.01%
100	\$4,213	\$340	0.90%
50	\$2,049	\$165	0.44%

¹ Assumes annual assessment for 30 years using an interest rate of 7%. There is no certainty that FHCF covered loss reimbursements can be financed at assumed interest rates. The amount which can be financed after an event could be smaller and is always subject to financial market conditions following the event.

² Based on current annual assessment base of \$37.933 billion.

Conclusion

As a result of nine consecutive years without major hurricane losses, the FHCF has significant cash on hand to pay claims. In addition, it has \$2 billion in pre-event bond proceeds. For catastrophic events requiring funds beyond the cash and pre-event bond proceeds, the FHCF relies on post-event bonding and other revenue sources for claims paying capacity.

For catastrophic losses, either from an extreme single event or from multiple events, the FHCF will likely issue bonds to pay claims and assess to repay the bonds. The potential assessment burden is estimated to be significantly lower this year than in prior years. In the event bonding is required, conditions in the financial markets have also improved over recent years, although, of course there cannot be a guarantee that all bonding can be placed. However, to serve its catastrophic purpose, the FHCF may need to execute one or more post-event financings over a 12 month period in order to accommodate participating insurers that experience rapid loss development and exhaust their FHCF payout limits.

The ability of the FHCF to pay claims in a sufficient and timely manner is critical to the health of the Florida insurance market, property owners and residents, and the Florida economy in general.